### The DEEPDIP ANR

Deep Learning techniques for SN's and Photometric redshifts



LAM: Treyer, Kraljic, de la Torre, Ilbert, Vibert, Gray, Moutard, Picouet, Arnouts
CPPM: Fouchez, Bautista, Lin, Racine, ...
IAP: Bertin, McCracken, Codis, Laigle, Pichon, Dubois
Montpellier: Pasquet (TETIS), Chaumont (LIRRM) ...

-> 2 Postdocs: Katarina Kraljic (LAM) + Julian Bautista (CPPM)
-> 1 thèse ANR-IA: Reda Ait-Ouahmed (LAM +TETIS)

- Science Goals: cosmology with SNs & Cosmic Web analysis

prepare next generation surveys: LSST + Euclid

#### Why exploring Deep Learning techniques ?

## — > A large family of Photometric redshift techniques:

- SED fitting needs a small training set for calibration but computationally intensive
- Machine learning (Aritificial Neural Network, kNearest NeigborsN, Random forest, SOM, ...) very good accuracy when using a large training set

—> One main Limiting factor: input informations based on extracted features relies on flux extraction which can be sensitive to PSF, neighbors, profile models ...

## — > Deep Learning approach:

- no feature extraction. Works at the pixel level !
   exploits all the informations (SB, sizes, inclinations, color gradients, neighbors)
- Now under reach thanks to large spec-z samples & GPU power
  - Hoyle+16 (60x60 jpeg RGBa images encoding (i-z,r-i,g-r, r mag), output: PDF)
  - d'Isanto & Polester+18: (28x28 ugriz fits images, output: PDF with Gaussian Mixture model)

#### --- > ML + DL :

limited to the representativity of the training set

### Photometric redshifts with Deep CNN

#### **Convolutional Neural Network**

- input : 64x64 ugriz images
- First steps : convolution blocks

   apply convolution kernels to extract
   several feature maps
   (successive conv. blocks with pooling to reduce their sizes)
- Second steps : Fully Connected NN
  Final features maps + E(B-V)gal
  are inputs for the FC NN
- Last step : classifier
   Output layer is a classifier with bins of δz
   providing a normalized PDF (z= Σk zk.Pk)
- Training : back propagation to minimize 28 millions parameters





## Photometric redshifts with Deep CNN

-> Protocol with all the training set :

Pasquet+ 19

- 5 cross validation samples with : 80% training + 20% testing
  - + 6 ensembles (Training set augmented by rotation + Flip of the images)

—>  $z_{cnn} = \sum_k z_k \cdot PDF_k$ 



Pasquet+ 19

#### —> SDSS (DR12): 516,000 galaxies with r<17.8



—> Better performance than the latest SDSS photo-zs

## Next challenges with Deep Surveys

- -> Moving to Higher redshift with Deep imaging surveys New challenges :
- \* Large redshift range —> large number of classes for training
- Smaller and inhomogeneous training set over/under-represented training set unbalanced in z





### **Photometric redshifts in Deep Surveys : CFHTLS**

->Performance at i<22.5 with CFHTLS - WIDE images



~ factor 2 improvement vs SED fitting

### **Photometric redshifts in Deep Surveys : CFHTLS**

- External test with PRIMUS + 3D-HST

0.4

mag

0

0.6

 $Z_{\text{spec}}$ 

1.0

0.8

0.2

1.4

1.2

Redshift

0.3

Zcnn



IAP, 8 Nov 2019

0.1

1.2

1.0

0.8

0.2

0.2

0.4

0.6

 $\mathsf{Z}_{\mathsf{SED}}$ 

# CNN photo-z is a promising approach but we need

- —> to better handle under-represented & unbalanced training set
- -1- upcoming spectroscopic surveys to improve the training set for DL (PFS, MOONS, JPASS, WAVE, WFIRST, Euclid, ...) but not for now ...

## -2- develop alternative DL approaches

- dealing with incomplete photometry (mising bands)
- dealing with under represented regions in training set : GAN ?
- develop transfer learning from one dataset to another
- find a way to exploit the large number of unlabelled galaxies
- extend analysis to other informations (physical parameters)

—> ANR DEEPDIP : to get ready for LSST+Euclid

Ressources :5 GPUs installed on Cluster at LAM (need more)--> collaboration with CESAM welcome