Constraints for high precision polarization detection at mm and sub-mm wavelengths from ground and space

Alessia Ritacco

Laboratoire de Physique de l'École normale supérieure (LPENS) Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale (IAS) Paris





Outline

Technological development to unveil:

- Magnetic fields physics NIKA2 from IRAM 30m telescope
- Cosmic Microwave Background polarization B-modes **CMB experiments - ex. LiteBIRD satellite**

Polarization detection constraints:

- Mitigating systematics effects
- Absolute calibration
- Astrophysical foregrounds







NIKA2 at IRAM 30m telescope



NIKA2 continuum camera: 6.5 arcmin of FoV 2mm band: 125-170 GHz FWHM 17 arcsec 1mm band: 240-280 GHz FWHM 11 arcsec



Science:

- Star formation;
- Galactic and extragalactic physics;
- Cosmology through the SZ effect in galaxy clusters;
- Solar system.









Motivations for NIKA2 polarimeter development:

magnetic field structures in galactic regions

Herschel satellite results suggest a filamentary paradigm of star formation

Large scale MHD turbulent flows generate filaments

18" resol.

Polaris - Herschel/Spire 250 µm

Ref: Protostars and Planets VI review

erate filaments filaments into prestellar cores $M / L > M_{line,crit} = 2 c_s^2/G$

Miville-Deschênes+ 2010

Andró+ 2010



Gravity fragments the densest

Taurus B211/3 - Herschel André, Di Francesco, Ward-Thompson+2014

Planck polarization results reveal a well organized magnetic field at large angular scales

Taurus: columns density + B lines



Need of high angular resolution observations to resolve the width of filaments ~ 0.01-0.05 pc







Cosmology



Technological challenges, systematics and calibration







Beyond Planck satellite observations

Planck satellite provided the best full-sky maps of Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) to date in both temperature and polarization.



CMB polarization patterns can be expressed as the superposition of two different modes: the E-modes, of even parity, and the B-modes.

B-modes can only be produced by primordial gravitational waves in the early universe. If detected they will probe the existence of an inflationary epoch and give us access to a physics beyond the current Standard Model.



B-mode

E-modes

Credits: ESA and Planck collaboration



Scientific motivations: CMB-B modes detection constraints

TT spectrum: cosmological parameters from density perturbations

EE spectrum: model coherence, break degeneracies

BB lensing spectrum: gravitational lensing of EE-modes, large-scale structures

BB primordial spectrum: tensor perturbations from primordial GW background, scaled by tensor to scalar ratio r

Best upper limit is r < 0.044 [Planck low-l, BICEP2/Keck and Planck dust, Tristam + 2020]

Experiments under development are designed to target $\sigma(r) < 10^{-3}$ LiteBIRD $2 \le \ell \le 300$ CMB-S4 $30 \le \ell \le 5000$



April 15th 2021





CMB experiments panorama

Noise challenge:

 \rightarrow decreases increasing the number of detectors

GROUND





The next generation "Stage-4" ground-based CMB experiment. Current Stage III **South Pole Telescope:** ~ 16400 detectors freq. 95-150-220 GHz **BICEP3:** 2560 detectors @ 95GHz **Keck Array telescopes:** ~ 29900 detectors

@ 35, 95, 150, 220, 270 GHz



FIGURE 1-1 - OVERALL DESIGN OF THE LITEBIRD SPACECRAFT (courtesy JAXA)

Table 2 LiteBIRD telescone parameters

Telescope	Low freq.	Medium freq.	High freq.
Frequency	34-161 GHz	89-224 GHz	166-448 GHz
Telescope field of view	$20^{\circ} \times 10^{\circ}$	28°diameter	28°diameter
Aperture diameter	400 mm	300 mm	200 mm
Angular resolution	70-24 arcmin	38-28 arcmin	29-18 arcmin
Rotational HWP	46-83 rpm	39-70 rpm	61-110 rpm
Number of detectors	1248	2074	1354

Uncertainty expected on tensor-to-scalar ratio $r < 10^{-3}$







High precision polarization detection challenges

Instrumental improvements:

Increasing SNR and reducing instrumental noise

- Arrays of high sensitive detectors
- Half wave plates:

from ground it also dramatically reduces correlated atmospheric noise.

Calibration: high control of systematics, absolute accuracy of the polarization reconstruction.

Astrophysical foregrounds:

Component separation \rightarrow large frequency range coverage







NIKA2 polarimeter

Half wave plate modulator



Modulating the polarization signal with a rotating half wave plate has numerous advantages:

- It separates the polarization signal from the unpolarized one
- A single polarized detector measures simultaneously the polarization parameters Stokes Q and U
- The signal is shifted far from 1/f noise
- It mitigates several systematics (atmosphere, thermal drifts, beam mismatch, asymmetries...)
- But it can introduce new systematic effects (Ritacco+17, D'Alessandro+2019) that need to be carefully addressed









$$d_k(t)(f_b,t) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{t,p} \{ I_p + \rho_{\text{pol}}[Q_p \cos(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t)) + U_p \sin(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t))] \} \times \alpha_k \times \gamma^{\text{atm}}(\tau^{\text{atm}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{t,p} \{ I_p + \rho_{\text{pol}}[Q_p \cos(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t)) + U_p \sin(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t))] \} \times \alpha_k \times \gamma^{\text{atm}}(\tau^{\text{atm}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{t,p} \{ I_p + \rho_{\text{pol}}[Q_p \cos(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t)) + U_p \sin(4\omega t + 2\psi_k(t))] \}$$

$$+ \hspace{0.1in} HWPSS(\omega) \hspace{0.1in} + \hspace{0.1in} lpha_k^{ ext{atm}}A_k(f_b,t) \hspace{0.1in} + \hspace{0.1in} n_k(t)$$

 $+ G_k(t) + \epsilon_k(t)E_k(f_b,t) + C_k(t) + \mathcal{IP}$







Control of Half Wave Plate systematics



Instrumental polarization:

Linear polarization from unpolarized sky emission HWP cross-polarization \rightarrow Leakage of polarisation from one axis to the other Drifts of the HWP temperature with time \rightarrow Different emissivities Deterioration of the beam passing through the HWP (ellipticity) Reflections at non-normal incidence can be detected at 4f (I > Q /U)...

12





- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes ...







- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes ...

Raw data

300 F

Ritacco et al. A&A 599, A34 (2017)

NIKA-example: continuous rotating multi-layers HWP produced a modulation also of the background signal







- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes ...

NIKA/IRAM 30m case addressed in Ritacco et al. A&A 599, A34 (2017)

Unpolarized source: we expect NO signal in Stokes Q and U maps



It depends on the optical elements including the HWP + detectors







- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes

NIKA/IRAM 30m case addressed in Ritacco et al. A&A 599, A34 (2017)



It can be modelled and subtracted if it is stable and common to all the detectors

IP residual: 0.6 % of total intensity \rightarrow to be accounted in the overall polarization uncertainties budget







- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes ...

NIKA2/IRAM 30m case preliminary investigation discussed in Ajeddig et al. EPJ Web of Conferences 228, 00002 (2020)

NIKA2 has presented a more complicated pattern, which depends on many parameters



 Leakage effect not stable → difficult to be modelled
A dependency with source elevation and focus has been observed and now we are close to model it and subtract it to all data sets







- * Absolute flux calibration instabilities due to atmospheric fluctuations
- * Polarization efficiency
- * Level of instrumental polarization \rightarrow I to P leakage
- * Absolute angle calibration
- * Side lobes ...







Challenge for CMB-B modes detection constraints

A miscalibration of the absolute polarization angle by $\Delta\psi_{\text{Gal}}$ will lead to a mixing of E and B modes. In the CMB and because $C_1^{EE} >> C_1^{BB}$, this is often referred to as an "E to B leakage" and reads



Accuracy in the calibration of the polarization angle:

- Ground calibration: very good but need to be validated during operations -
- External calibration source: good accuracy but never done before, instrumental limits ?! -
- Self-calibration: we expect no scientific signal from TB and EB \rightarrow only instrumental -→ Losing constraints on fundamental phenomena
- Sky calibration: frequency dependence, time variability \rightarrow **Best option: CRAB NEBULA** _







Accuracy of the polarization detection

A sky calibrator: the Crab nebula

* Absolute angle calibration

The **Crab Nebula** (Tau A) is a plerion-type supernova remnant, observed from radio to X-rays

The microwave emission has an extension of about $5' \times 7'$

Most intense polarized source in the microwave sky, at angular scales of few arcminutes

Highly polarized synchrotron emission with a polarization fraction of $^{\sim}$ 20%

It is relatively isolated in the microwave sky within 1 degree scale









Spectral energy distribution (SED)

The polarization spectral index is consistent with the total power index confirming that the synchrotron radiation from a single population of relativistic electrons is responsible for the emission of the nebula. $\beta = -0.323 \pm 0.001$; $\beta_{POL} = -0.347 \pm 0.026$.



*Planck HFI fluxes have been recomputed here by using aperture photometry techniques





Ground based high angular resolution observations

A variation from small to large angular scales is observed on both the polarization angle and degree

The polarization direction appears stable with the frequency and constant within a radius of 2 arcmin from the Nebula center

In order to compare with CMB experiments results let's check the integrated flux across the source







Constraints of the absolute calibration

* Compilation of: WMAP [Weiland+11] Planck-LFI [Planck 2015 XXVI], Planck-HFI, re-analyzed in [Ritacco+18]) XPOL\IRAM-30m [Aumont+10] and NIKA\IRAM-30m [Ritacco+18]

Total weighted polarization angle average:

 $\psi = -88.26^{\circ} \pm 0.27^{\circ}$



J. Aumont , J.F. Macías-Pérez, **A. Ritacco**, N. Ponthieu, A. Mangilli A&A 634, A100 (2020)





Combining current (and future) measurements

Power spectrum bias from E–B mixing due to the miscalibration of the absolute polarization angle

Aumont+2020

* Assumption of constant polarization angle is necessary to lower the D_{L}^{BB} bias

* Under the crucial assumption that the polarization angle is constant with frequency, the combined error $\sigma(\psi) \simeq 0.27^{\circ}$ could allow to probe $r = 10^{-2}$

* Future accurate measurements of the Crab are needed to meet the requirements of future CMB experiments ($\sigma(\psi) \simeq 0.1^{\circ}$) to measure $r = 10^{-3}$

- NIKA2Pol high sensitive maps at 260 GHz under investigation
- SCUBA2Pol at 353 GHz proposal submitted







Foregrounds challenge



- Synchrotron emission
- Dust emission





In total intensity the large angular scale spatial variation of the dust spectral energy distribution (SED) is analytically described by introducing two additive SED corrections factors. The dust model is thus: $dust = f(v)Dust + \frac{\partial f(v)}{\partial \beta}\Delta Dust_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f(v)}{\partial \beta^2}\Delta Dust_2$ where f(w) is the Planck modified black bedy function

where $f(\boldsymbol{\nu})$ is the Planck modified black-body function.

The correlation of these results with polarization data is under study (Ritacco+2021, in prep) and will be crucial to understand the behaviour of the dust polarization and prepare data analysis modelling for e.g. CMB-S4, LiteBIRD.







- Summary
- High control of the systematics induced by optical elements; \star
- Modelling optical effects and propagating them into data analysis is the only \star way to choose the best configuration of polarization modulators;
- \star Sky absolute calibration in a large frequency range is crucial for next generation of CMB experiments
 - current measurements could allow to probe $r = 10^{-2}$; Ο
 - future accurate measurements of the Crab (e.g. NIKA2, SCUBA-2) are Ο needed to meet the requirements of future CMB experiments to measure r = 10⁻³ (e.g. LiteBIRD, CMB-S4).
- Spatial dust SED variation has to be carefully accounted for in the component × separation data modelling.





BACKUP SLIDES





Kinetic Inductance Detectors

KIDs are RLC superconducting resonators



KIDs are intrinsically suited for Frequency Domain Multiplexing







Sensitive to one polarization

Sensitive to both linear polarizations





NIKA/NIKA2 detectors



NIKA2 KID array







D